

كانالهاي كوانتومي اكستريم با استفاده از شبکههای عصبی لاله معمارزاده اصفهاني

تشخيص

محمد مهدي ماستري فراهاني

آبان ۲ه۱۴

فهرست مطالب

صرح مسئله

• حل مسئله به صورت الگوریتمی ( ەشبكەھاى عصبى ( ەنتايج حل مسئله با روش شبکههای عصبی
آینده پژوهی



#### Quantum Evolutions, Quantum Simulations, Extreme Quantum Channels

#### Quantum Evolutions (PTP maps)

$$\rho \longrightarrow \phi(\rho)$$

$$\begin{cases} \phi(\rho) > 0 & \forall \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \\ \operatorname{Tr}(\rho) = \operatorname{Tr}(\phi(\rho)) = 1 \end{cases}$$

#### Quantum Evolutions (CPTP maps)



```
Representations of CPTPs (Choi Matrix)
```

$$C_{\phi} = (\phi \otimes I_d) |\Gamma\rangle \langle \Gamma| = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} \phi(|i\rangle \langle j|) \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|$$

$$\phi \text{ is } CPTP \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \boxed{C_{\phi}} \ge 0$$

Choi, M. (1975). Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 10(3), 285-290.

Representations of CPTPs (Kraus Operators)

$$\phi \text{ is } CP \iff \phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} A_i \rho A_i^{\dagger}$$



Kraus, K. (1983). States, effects, and operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory. Springer.

#### Representations of CPTPs (Affine)



#### Representations of CPTPs (Affine)



$$r \in S^{d^2-2} \cup \{\text{inside of } S^{d^2-2}\}$$

Bengtsson, I., & Życzkowski, K. (2017). Geometry of quantum states: An Introduction to Quantum Entanglement. Cambridge University Press.

```
Representations of CPTPs (Affine)
```

$$\rho' = \phi(\rho) \qquad \begin{array}{c} Rep \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \qquad r' = T_{\phi}r$$

$$\boldsymbol{T_{\phi}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \boldsymbol{t_{\phi}} & \boldsymbol{M_{\phi}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Nielsen, M.A., & Chuang, I.L. (2010). Quantum computation and quantum information: 10th Anniversary Edition. Cambridge University Press.



#### The Problem

d = 2





Wolf, M. M., Eisert, J., Cubitt, T. S., & Cirac, J. I. (2008). Assessing Non-Markovian quantum dynamics. Physical Review Letters, 101(15).

#### The Problem



#### The Main Problem



حل مسئله به صورت الگوريتمي

#### Choi Theorem, Unital and non-Unital Extreme Qubit Channels

#### Choi Theorem

$$\phi(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} A_i \rho A_i^{\dagger}$$

$$\left\{A_i^{\dagger}A_j\right\}_{i,j=1}^K$$

# Linear Independence of $\{A_i^{\dagger}A_j\}_{i,i=1}^{K} \Leftrightarrow \phi \text{ is Extreme}$

Choi, M. (1975). Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 10(3), 285-290.

#### Complexity

 $O(d^6) + O(d^{4.6}) \sim O(d^6)$ Find  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^K$ Linear Independence of  $\{A_i^{\dagger}A_j\}_{i,i=1}^{K}$ (Numerical)

### حل مسئله با روش شبکههای عصبی



#### Neural Networks

#### Extreme Classifier



#### Extreme Classifier







# 



 ${\mathcal X}$ 



Training Loss

 $\mathcal{L} = \left\| f_{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_m}(x, y) - L(x, y) \right\|$ 

 $(x, y) \in \text{Train set}$ 

#### Learning (Optimization)

#### $\mathcal{L} \to 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow$



https://www.aic.fel.cvut.cz/research-areas/optimization

#### Evaluation





https://www.aic.fel.cvut.cz/research-areas/optimization

#### A Neuron



#### $n(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$

#### A Neuron (Mathematical Description)



Mehta, P., Bukov, M., Wang, C., Day, A. G. R., Richardson, C. C., Fisher, C. G., & Schwab, D. J. (2019). A high-bias, low-variance introduction to Machine Learning for physicists. Physics 27 Reports, 810, 1–124.

#### Possible non-linear activation function



Mehta, P., Bukov, M., Wang, C., Day, A. G. R., Richardson, C. C., Fisher, C. G., & Schwab, D. J. (2019). A high-bias, low-variance introduction to Machine Learning for physicists. Physics 28 Reports, 810, 1–124.

#### A Neural Network (NN)



A Neural Network (NN)



$$x'_{i} = a(\boldsymbol{w}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b_{i}) \iff \boldsymbol{x}' = a(\boldsymbol{w}\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b})$$
$$w = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{w}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{w}_{n_{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{n_{2} \times n_{1}} \qquad \boldsymbol{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n_{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{n_{2} \times 1}$$

https://tikz.net/neural\_networks/





 $f_{w_i,b_i}(x_{in})$ 

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

#### Learn a NN



#### Universal Approximation Theorem

• A neural network with a single hidden layer can approximate any continuous, multi-input/multi-output function with arbitrary accuracy.

#### Complexity for Training a NN

$$O\left(n_{train} \times n_{epoch} \times \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} n_i n_{i+1}\right)$$

Mehta, P., Bukov, M., Wang, C., Day, A. G. R., Richardson, C. C., Fisher, C. G., & Schwab, D. J. (2019). A high-bias, low-variance introduction to Machine Learning for physicists. Physics 34 Reports, 810, 1–124.

### حل مسئله با روش شبکههای عصبی (نتایج)

 $\longrightarrow$ 

Qubit Extreme Detection, Qutrit Extreme Detection

#### Architecture of our NN:



*# parameters* = 106 115

#### Qubit Extreme Channels

#### Extremal Qubit Channels (d=2)



#### Extremal Qubit Channels (d=2) Unital

# $\mathcal{U}(\rho) = U\rho U^{\dagger}$

# $U \in SU(2)$

Ruskai, M. B., Szarek, S. J., & Werner, E. M. (2002). An analysis of completely-positive trace-preserving maps on M2. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 347(1-3), 159–187. Mendl, C. B., & Wolf, M. S. (2009). Unital Quantum Channels – convex structure and revivals of Birkhoff's Theorem. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 289(3), 1057–1086.

#### Extremal Qubit Channels (d=2)



#### Detection of Extremal Qubit Channels using NN

Training set

#### Test Accuracy = 99.6%



Test Accuracy Of Non-unital = 12.6% Extreme

#### Detection of Extremal Qubit Channels using NN

Training set

#### Test Accuracy = 100%



Test Accuracy Of Non-unital = 17.7% Extreme

#### Detection of Extremal Qubit Channels using NN

Training set

#### Test Accuracy = 100%



Test Accuracy Of Non-unital = 100% Extreme Comparison of Complexities

Algorithmic



 $O(d^6) \sim O(2^6)$ 

 $O(d^4) \sim O(2^4)$ 

#### Qutrit Extreme Channels

#### Extremal Qudit Channels (d>2)



#### Extremal Qutrit Channels (d=3)

$$A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\beta} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \alpha - \beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Training set} \\ A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\beta} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \alpha - \beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ C_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Test Accuracy = 100%

$$\frac{\text{Test Accuracy}}{\text{Of C}} = 100\%$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Training set} \\ A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\beta} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \alpha - \beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ C_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Test Accuracy = 100%

$$\frac{\text{Test Accuracy}}{\text{Of B}} = 100\%$$

Training set

$$A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\beta} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \alpha - \beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\C_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Test Accuracy = 100%

 $\frac{\text{Test Accuracy}}{\text{Of A}} = 0.8\%$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Training set} \\ A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\beta} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \alpha - \beta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ C_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1 - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Test Accuracy = 100%

$$\frac{\text{Test Accuracy}}{\text{Of B}} = 73.2\%$$

Test Accuracy = 94.5%

#### Extremal G-covariant Qutrit Channels



#### Detection of Extremal G-covariant Qutrit Channels



#### Detection of Extremal G-covariant Qutrit Channels



#### Detection of Extremal G-covariant Qutrit Channels



Comparison of Complexities

Algorithmic



 $O(d^6) \sim O(3^6)$ 

 $O(d^4) \sim O(3^4)$ 



\_\_\_\_\_

آينده پژوهي

#### دادن وابستگی بُعد به لایههای پنهان و بررسی عملکرد شبکهی عصبی در بُعدهای بالاتر

• توليد كانالهاى اكستريم با استفاده از شبكههاى عصبى مولد

## تشكر از توجه شما!







FIG. 1: Schematic depiction of the (12-dimensional) convex set of qubit channels. The (dark grey) subset of Markovian channels is non-convex and contains 2% of the channels. The larger still non-convex set of time-dependent Markovian channels (17%) contains all extremal channels. All sets, including the measure zero set of indivisible channels (black line) can be found in the neighborhood of the identity (dotted circle).

#### Extremal Qubit Channels (d=2) Non-Unital

$$T_{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{1 \times 3} \\ t_{\phi} & M_{\phi} \end{pmatrix} \to M_{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix} , t_{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ t_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\lambda_{3} = \lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} \qquad \qquad 1 \to 2 \\ t_{3}^{2} = (1 - \lambda_{1}^{2})(1 - \lambda_{2}^{2}) , t_{1} = t_{2} = 0 \qquad \qquad \lambda_{3} \checkmark$$

Ruskai, M. B., Szarek, S. J., & Werner, E. M. (2002). An analysis of completely-positive trace-preserving maps on M2. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 347(1-3), 159–187. Mendl, C. B., & Wolf, M. S. (2009). Unital Quantum Channels – convex structure and revivals of Birkhoff's Theorem. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 289(3), 1057–1086.